

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,284	05/08/2001	Sanja Durinovic-Johri	1999-0647 3417		
75	90 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
Samuel H. Dworetsky			DAVIS, CYNTHIA L		
AT&T CORP. P.O. Box 4110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Middletown, NJ 07748-4110			2665		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/851,284	DURINOVIC-JOHRI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cynthia L Davis	2665		

before the I ming of an Appear bile	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Cynthia L Davis	2665						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee								
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
<ul> <li>(a)</li></ul>	•	TE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	nor form for appear by materially re	adding or onlipinging						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: the amendments to claims 1, 6, 10, and 1 41.33(a)).	4 raise issues that would require fu	<u>rther search</u> . (See 37	CFR 1.116 and					
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	-	_					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other:								
	•		ALPUS H. HSU MARY EXAMINER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)